Bob Dole Feints Toward ‘Choice,’ But He Runs and Votes ‘Pro-Life’

GOP presidential candidate Robert Dole late last year stepped slightly back from his party’s — and his own — hard-line anti-choice position.

But, based on his past electoral pronouncements and long Senate voting record, this may have been no more than a vote-getting gesture. It was a feint toward the mainstream, and one that, in fact, Dole has made before.

The Kansas Republican has repeatedly been elected to the Senate by condemning abortion and castigating “abortionists” in the harshest terms. Whatever he now says, he always has voted pro-life — and continues to do so (see below).

In his most recent shift — or shifts — Dole said on NBC’s “Meet the Press” (Dec. 17) that he once supported a constitutional ban against abortion, and added:

“I would not do it again.”

Exceptions Claimed

Now, he said, he would make exceptions if the mother’s life was at risk, and in cases of rape or incest. This distanced him slightly from GOP Sen. Phil Gramm (Tex.) and other rivals. It seemed to move Dole toward the American mainstream — which favors “choice” by two to one.

Predictably, this drew howls of betrayal from the right. Ralph Reed, executive director of the Christian Coalition, said he was “profoundly disappointed” in Dole; other commentators were less kind.

Dole then shifted back toward the right, telling Reed the next day that he still supported the constitutional ban. But he now wanted the exceptions for rape, incest, and protecting the mother’s life written in (N.Y. Times, Dec. 19). He’d previously made similar moves:

In 1972, during debate on the GOP platform, Washington Star writer Lester Kinsolving reported that Dole said in Miami Beach (Sept. 9) that he definitely favored legalized abortion in cases like the savage rape of an 11-year-old girl that had recently been reported by AP. This proposed exception was discussed. But it did not appear in the platform.

Since then, fierce opposition to legalized abortion has been a winning electoral issue for Dole. Over a decade ago (Feb. 22, continued on page 6

Liddy Dole’s Abortion View Tightly Hedged

Elizabeth (Liddy) Dole (née Hanford), who is 13 years younger than her husband, started her political life as a Great Society Democrat, according to Current Biography (1983). She supported the Equal Rights Amendment.

Her marriage to Dole, on Dec. 6, 1975, at age 39, was her first. She is childless, according to standard sources.

A few years later, at age 45, Elizabeth told a Washington Star reporter (12/30/80) that she and Dole had no parental plans.

“If it happened, we’d be delighted. It would be great,” she said.

Elizabeth Dole has been extremely circumspect in discussing abortion. Asked by N.Y. Times reporter Judy Klemesrud how she felt about abortion, she replied:

“I think it’s just about the most difficult questions there is,” and “one I’m still wrestling with” (12/7/80).

Seven years later, freelance writer Philip Weiss, in an interview for the Washington Monthly (Sept. ’87), asked her the same question. Dole answered:

“It is the toughest question I have ever had to wrestle with, and frankly I am still wrestling with it.”

Weiss detected a “scripted feeling” and “a glimpse of her prim carefulness” in this response. He noted, with a trace of sarcasm, that in telling him exactly the same thing, in the same words that she’d used with Klemesrud years earlier, “Dole looked me in the eye like she was confiding in me.”

What has Mrs. Dole had to say on the subject lately?

Obviously very little.

A Nexis search last month using her name and abortion as key words failed to turn up any comments in the last six years in wine stories, newspapers or magazines.
Follow-up
Rational Concerns
Are Seen in Threats

We've received a cordial letter from Washington University biologist Garland E. Allen, Ph.D., whose paper (with Gerald Futterman, Ph.D.) on the alleged genocidal threat of research on genes 'n' violence we reported in November. Allen said we did "a very good job" getting at the main points of the papers we covered. But, he says, we failed to make his and Futterman's major point that "conclusive research on biological correlates of a vague, subjective behavioral trait" — like crime — "is impossible to come by."

Research in these areas, Allen says, cannot help but be sloppy. So broad implications drawn from it are unjustified, and dangerous in the social and political arenas.

More specifically, Allen takes issue with those of us at last autumn's conference in Queenstown, Md. who denounced the demonstrators who interrupted the meeting. We said the invaders represented "politics" rather than a "rational" reaction to the research (PROBE, Oct.).

"To my mind," Allen said, "the demonstrators exhibited far more rational concern about how to truly help people in this society, than those doing behavioral genetic research."

We of course disagree. But we think PROBE readers should judge the matter for themselves. So, we have now obtained a transcript of the tirade by the leader, Columbia University college student Adam Stevens; he marched into the conference with several dozen shouting, chanting associates, and seized the podium. Stevens was brandishing a red, communist flag, on the end of a long, thick pole.

He said he was a member of the Progressive Labor Party and a Communist. He also said he was a member of an ad hoc Coalition Against the Violence Initiative, a long-stalled federal program designed to study, and help reduce, the violence that bedevils some inner city communities.

The 5-year, $12 million project was finally approved late last year by the National Science Board, which oversees the National Science Foundation (NFS). It was scheduled to start last month. Funding comes from NSF, the department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Department of Justice, according to Dan Greenberg in Science & Government Report (Jan. 15).

By government standards, of course, a program with $2.5 million annual budget is very small potatoes.

Excerpts from the transcript are in the adjacent box.

Readers might also ask themselves: Is Stevens exercising his First Amendment rights, which are protected by the Constitution? Or, is he directly threatening and intimidating researchers — which is indictable and punishable under state laws?

---

‘The Lady Is a Pig’

"At Columbia, right now, we students are protesting a research project headed by Professor Gail Wasserman."

"This lady is a pig.

"She is studying only black and Latino children, younger brothers of male adjudicated delinquents... She approached the (U.S.) Department of Justice first, and then she went to get her sample of children. Now what she wants to do is biological testing and psychiatric testing to see if these children are going to wind up and turn out to be violent — black and Latin children only. And then what? Her only recommendation is doing treatment for these children... And she's studying the IQ of the mother. The maternal IQ will help decide whether or not these children will grow up to be violent criminals..."

"Right now with the situation of no jobs, no future for these youths, what are you going to do? The jails are overcrowded. Prisons are the only growing industry these days in this decaying system.

"And so then what is next for the youth in this type of situation, where are you going to put them? How are you going to pacify these future revolutionaries, how are you going to do that? You are going to drag them; that's how you're going to pacify these future revolutionaries.

"But the Progressive Labor Party and the Communists, we turn our schools and our universities into schools for revolution where we organize against the Violence Initiative and all these genetics, Nazi eugenics.

"You do not see the eugenics in what you are doing! We're here to let you know that the red flag will be in your face every time you try to step up with this racist, fascist technology that you are trying to impose into our bloodstream and veins.

"We're not having it. We're not having it at all! Okay?

"So next time you try to come to the ghetto with these drugs, I'm telling you, one of you clinical researchers is going to get punched in your face. We're not playing! You want to get violent, we will get violent! We will initiate some violence on you all. If you want a Violence Initiative, come on! Let's do it! Let's get it on!...

"The red flag will be in your face the whole way!"

— Adam Stevens, Columbia University college senior
What Goes On In Unabomber's Mind?  
His Manifesto Provides Some Clues

We've read, twice, the "Unabomber's" 35,000-word manifesto, "Industrial Society and its Future," as published by the Washington Post (Sept. 19). Here's our reading of it — and him.

He has killed three people, and injured two dozen others. This man is criminally wrong.

He also is certainly right that he wouldn't have had his document published in the Post if he hadn't threatened to kill again. The manifesto is long, and it, too may be wrong. But: It is not a rant.

Far from it. The manifesto is pedantic. But it is well-written, and, up to a point, well-reasoned. The bomber, or F.C. as he calls himself, is a close observer of the American scene, and a fairly competent analyst of it — and much that he says certainly is true.

Judging by the text and references, F.C. is a white male, in his late 30's or early 40's. He is a Marxist. Or, perhaps it is more Freud, Max Weber, Nietzsche, Schopenhauer, and others. Socialism, as well as against capitalism and other forms of repression, forces that deny men their individual freedom and sense of achievement — power — that he ascribes to an historical (but we think mythical) past. Individuals and even "small groups" could attain power and self-fulfillment for themselves, F.C. says, by successfully coping with and thriving within nature.

F.C.'s nostalgia for small groups strikes us as a longing for belonging, and for familial feelings that he says were lost when his parents became, to use his phrase, "over-socialized" servants of technology and the social forms that support it — specifically, in his case, socialism. F.C. is an anarchist, and a Luddite, who wants to blow up the whole social apparatus in order to regain his mythic freedom.

"Leftists tend to hate anything that has an image of being strong, good, and successful," F.C. writes [para. 15; references are to the numbered paragraphs in his text.] "They hate America, they hate Western civilization, they hate white males, they hate rationality." Reason: Because America and the West are "strong and successful."

Bomber that he is, F.C., strikingly, is also a booster for the American way!

Depression Is Disclosed

We interpret much of F.C.'s social critique as a psychological self-assessment. He sounds depressed, and appears to suffer from frustration, boredom, and a sense of futility. He is withdrawn and nostalgic for childhood. He speaks often of "kids," rarely of "children." He identifies strongly with the tedium boys feel cooped up in classrooms, rather than being free to roam out-of-doors, Huck Finn style, to develop their natural survival skills. These skills are appropriate to the primitive world he plans to recreate by tormenting revolution against the sissified world we now live in.

"A normal adolescent wants to spend his time in active contact with the real world. Among primitive peoples the things that children are trained to do tend to be in reasonable harmony with natural human impulses" [para. 115].

Shades of Rousseau!

"Among the American Indians [n.b., not Native Americans]," he continues, "boys were trained in active outdoor pursuits — just the sort of thing boys like. But in our society children are pushed into studying technical subjects, which must do grudgingly" [ibid.].

And, they then may repay their grudges in kind: with bombs.

Some, including the FBI, see F.C. as a child of affluence. Thinking, in this view, is a perk of affluence — and F.C. was taught to think. Thinking is a major part of his mental makeup. On the other hand, his skills — expressed in bomb building — suggest blue collar origins. He may have been a hobbyist model builder when young.

Our interpretation of the diagram "Symptoms resulting from disruption of the power process," that F.C. includes in a footnote to his manifesto is that it refers specifically to his psyche, as well no doubt to many others': Depression — even just continued on the following page
“mild” depression — is at the heart of the problem, whether the lines are converted into arrows pointing inward, outward, or both (see below).

Exits Are Blocked

F.C.’s upbringing, in a left-leaning, “over-socialized” family, frustrated his maturation and individuation — the psychologically necessary personal development that he calls the “power process.” The result: He feels that he, and millions like him, lack “autonomy.” This has left him trapped and out of touch with the world, in a child-like state of mind.

“For most people,” F.C. writes, “it is through the power process — having a goal, making an autonomous effort, and attaining the goal — that self-esteem, self confidence and a sense of power are acquired. When one [can’t] . . . . the consequences are boredom, low self-esteem” and other symptoms listed in his diagram [para. 44].

F.C. adds, poignantly:

“We consider lack of opportunity to properly experience the power process as the most important of the abnormal conditions to which modern society subjects people” [para. 45].

The loss of this opportunity, in his view, is irrevocable, and leads to a purposelessness, an “anomie” or “middle-class vacuity.”

This sounds to us like a self-diagnosis of neurosis. And anxiety.

Reality tells F.C. he can’t go back and remake these essential, maturational experiences. So his only logical recourse, he feels, is to destroy the social and technological world that overwhelms him — and upon which he feels dependent. Then, he and everyone else can again be free to grow, testing themselves against meaningful, natural challenges, rather than continuing to suffocate in the dead ends their lives have become.

According to FBI accounts, F.C.’s bombs are carefully, meticulously — even lovingly — constructed. They carry his imprint, express his pent-up feelings. In his fantasy, when a unabomb explodes, destroying a symbolic individual in the repressive, hi-tech (adult) world, it expresses his quest for freedom. A psychiatrist might interpret his bomb-building as sublimated, masturbatory self-expression.

Is F.C. impotent, as a pop psych interpretation suggests he must be? Perhaps. But the manifesto doesn’t prove it. However, he has very little to say for or about women. Frustrating as it may be, F.C.’s is a man’s world.

Humor Is Present

F.C. shows rare moments of comic book-like humor:

“Holy robots!” he exclaims. “The world will surely fly off its orbit if the Japanese sell more cars than we do!” [para. 195].

Nevertheless, F.C.’s world is basically glum and isolated. He says several times he is bothered by crowds, noise, and other social intrusions on his isolation and silence. He is dysthymic, psychotherapists might say; feelings of pleasure evade him. He has taken refuge in revolutionary intellectualism and bomb-building, which, in his desperation, are the resources he uses in his search for personal salvation — for “freedom” (liberation).

Recent news reports, based on interviews with FBI investigators, have tagged F.C. a “serial killer.” This may be deceptive, as it lumps him with sexual sadists, including psychopaths, who kill without remorse. F.C. is not amoral, psychopathic; he has a clear sense of right and wrong.

He seems to see himself as a self-appointed hero, who’s above the common fray. He selects his victims for what they represent — technology, business, and thus repressiveness —
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**Diagram of Symptoms Resulting from Disruption of the Power Process**

- **Lack of Goals Whose Attainment Requires Effort**
  - Boredom
  - Tendency to depression
  - Excessive pleasure-seeking
  - Insatiable hedonism
  - Sexual perversion
  - Overeating

- **Failure to Attain Goals**
  - Frustration
  - Anger
  - Abuse
  - Eating disorders
  - Sleep disorders
  - Guilt
  - Anxiety
  - Low self-esteem

F.C.’s psychosocial analysis may contain key elements of self-diagnosis. He is clearly depressed.
Mosquito Expert Will Be Missed

We note with regret the death, on December 21, of entomologist George B. Craig, Jr., Ph.D., of Notre Dame; he was 65.

As the N.Y. Times obit reported, George was a world expert on mosquitoes and the diseases they carry.

It was our good fortune to have met George for the first time in the late 1940's, where he was the teaching assistant in our high school biology class, at the University of Chicago Lab School. Later, he was a source for several stories we wrote on mosquito-borne illnesses.

"The war against the mosquito is going backward!" George said, as quoted in the Times:

women with early-stage breast cancer who choose lumpectomy followed by radiation have the same chance of surviving the first dozen years after surgery as women who choose total mastectomy, according to updated results from the world's largest study... reported in the New England Journal..."

-- John Crewdson, Chicago Tribune, Nov. 30, 1995

Unabomber...

continued from the previous page

not for who they are as individuals. His efforts to communicate with society, through his letters and manifestoes, express his sense of loneliness, even guilt — which could end up being his self-revelatory downfall.

His is a desperate gamble, as well as a deadly one.

In sum, our guess is that F.C. is a 42-year-old white male from a socialist or Marxist family. He has a college education and perhaps some graduate training in, say, sociology or political science. He lives in an academic community, and may even be married or otherwise related to an academic person. His personality defects have cost him his career; he may work as a librarian, an engineer, or hold a similar service job on or near a university campus.

Because he is so tense, F.C. may smoke. He probably does not drink or take drugs; in this pre-revolutionary period he practices tight control to protect himself, if for no other reason.

LITERARY DIAGNOSIS

paranoid, with idée fixe; very obsessed, very dangerous

Given F.C.'s academic presence, in the 1970's probably, if not now, we're surprised the FBI hasn't run him to the ground. Just how many smart, angry, anarchistic, Luddite ex-students like him can there be?

F.C. is quite lucid — except when it comes to himself.

-- D.R.Z.

Leftists Are Losers

"Modern leftist philosophers tend to dismiss reason, science, objective reality, and to insist that everything is culturally relative. It is true that one can ask serious questions about the foundations of scientific knowledge and about how, if at all, the concept of objective reality can be defined. But it is obvious that modern leftist philosophers are not simply cool-headed logicians systematically analyzing the foundations of knowledge. They are deeply involved emotionally in their attack on truth and reality.

They attack these concepts because of their own psychological needs. For one thing, their attack is an outlet for hostility, and, to the extent that it is successful, it satisfies the drive for power. More importantly, the leftist hates science and rationality because they classify certain beliefs as true (i.e., successful, superior) and other beliefs as false (i.e., failed, inferior). The leftist's feelings of inferiority run so deep that he cannot tolerate any classification of some things as successful or superior and other things as failed or inferior. This also underlies the rejection by many leftists of the concept of mental illness and of the utility of IQ tests.

"Leftists are antagonistic to genetic explanations of human abilities or behavior because such explanations tend to make some persons appear superior or inferior to others. Leftists prefer to give society the credit or blame for an individual's ability or lack of it. Thus if a person is 'inferior' it is not his fault, but society's, because he has not been brought up properly."

-- F.C., Para. 18

Given the present, sorry state of the Left, it's hard to argue with F.C.'s analysis!

-- D.R.Z.

complained, a decade ago. This was about when we reported, for Smithsonian Magazine, on his effort — which was unsuccessful — to get the Fed effectively engaged in blocking importation and U.S. colonization by the Asian tiger mosquito (Aedes albopicutus). This insect arrives in scrap tires shipped here from the Far East. It is a carrier of dengue fever, and possibly yellow fever and other tropical ills as well.

Craig said, as quoted in the Times: The government's position "is to wait for an epidemic, then do something about it... That's like jumping off the Empire State Building and saying you're all right 99% of the way down."
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1985), the conservative National Review noted:

"[H]e was once saved in a close Senate race by the right-to-life vote, and has never forgotten it."

This vote occurred in 1974. Dole had been challenged for his second Senate term by Democratic congressman William Roy, M.D., of Topeka. Roy, an obstetrician-gynecologist, was the only member of Congress who had ever performed an abortion.

Early on, Roy was ahead in the straw polls.

Law Is Cited

Later in the campaign, Roy — who said he personally opposed abortion, but thought the law should be obeyed — stated that he had performed only one abortion (N.Y. Times Magazine Oct. 20, '74). At a political event in Emporia, Kans., he explained:

I operated on one woman who had a tumor the size of your fist in her uterus. I removed the uterus to save the woman's life. But the uterus contained a fetus.

The operation was performed only to save the life of the woman. And, I might add, it was performed at a Catholic hospital. It was technically an abortion. But it was necessary to save the woman's life.

Roy then asked a Catholic priest in the audience if this was permissible. The priest agreed that it was.

Meanwhile, however, pro-lifers had started a whispering campaign against Roy. The Times writer said, "After Dole dropped [his] Mr. Nice Guy [image], he has made increasing use of the issue" in his campaign.

A Washington Star reporter recounted, two years later (Aug. 20, '76), when Dole became Gerald Ford's vice presidential running mate: "In discussing his own position on the abortion issue, Dole often wound up his remarks by saying how much he abhorred such operations, and added:

"I never performed one."

Ironically, Star writer Martha Angle noted, Dole's youthful ambition — before he was severely injured in World War II — had been to become a doctor. This, she said, might have forced him to face the abortion issue more directly, as his opponent, Roy, had.

Lacking this constraint, Dole attacked Roy as an "abortionist," who favored "abortion on demand" (N.Y. Times Magazine Dec. 12, '82).

Dole narrowly beat Roy in '74. Both attributed this result to Dole's pro-life loyalists.

"I would have beat him handily if it wasn't for the abortion

Position Is Unchanged

Dole's position has been staunchly anti-choice ever since. During the 1988 elections, for example, the National Abortion Rights Action League (NARAL), in Washington, listed Dole as "a consistent opponent of choice," citing his belief that "the fetus is human," so abortion "should not be legal." He supported a constitutional amendment to bar the procedure.

This time, however, Dole's campaign is consistent with his recent feint toward the center. A 14-minute videotape, "Bob Dole: An American Hero," released by his campaign office, is filled with images of virginal young women, flowers, and churches — but the A-word is unsaid.

Pro-choice advocates find this public stance deceptive. On NARAL's scorecard, Dole earned one plus and six minus in his 1993 Senate votes. In 1994, he got no plus and three minus. -- -• ----

Last year, through mid-December, Dole did not vote with NARAL once. But he voted against its interests 13 times, for 13 minus, according to spokeswoman Gwen Fitzgerald. Over the three years, then, Dole's average on NARAL's card is 4 on a scale in which 0 is "life" and 100 is "choice."

"While Dole has a solid anti-choice record," NARAL's Fitzgerald said by phone, "many voters seem not to realize it."

Absence Noted

We'd like to blame the blizzards in the Northeast. But there were other impediments as well, so we missed January; this issue is dated (January) February, 1996. As always when we goof, all subscriptions will be advanced one month: A year's subscription to PROBE always is 12 issues!

— D.R.Z.

Expert...
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Much is written these days about the threat of newly-emerging infectious diseases. In fact, scientists like George Craig long have warned the public and the Fed about these new disease risks — and proposed ways to reduce them.

(We're sending a PROBE subscription to the Notre Dame entomology library to honor George Craig's memory.)
Could Press Cajole Med Schools Into Flunking Crime-Prone Docs?

Unless you're a straight-A student, it can be hard to get into medical school. But, once admitted, it's very unlikely you'll be tossed out; most medical students graduate with M.D. degrees.

This protection of apprentices is well-known inside the medical guild, but less well-known outside. In many medical school classes, ninety to ninety-five percent of incoming freshmen walk away with their M.D.'s four years later.

This is confirmed, more or less, in a recent issue of the Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA). It reports that there are 16,156 first-year students in U.S. Med Schools this year. There will be an estimated 16,324 graduates next June.

Amid all this good fellowship — and girlship, since a growing proportion of med students now are women — a second, distressing fact also is evident to anyone who follows the news: Lots of doctors are getting into trouble — serious trouble — with the law.Physicians are charged with murder. Manslaughter. Rape. Maybe even mayhem. Just like folks in other walks of life. (See adjacent story)

Yet doctors tell themselves — at graduations, for example, and they also tell outsiders — that they are different: Medicine is a sacred calling; doctors have a profound respect for others.

Do Med Schools Err?

Could the growing number of physicians who are accused — and convicted — of crimes reflect their medical training, or shortcomings thereof? Could it be that medical schools do not, as claimed, do a good job of selecting, monitoring, and training their students in personal and professional responsibility?

We often ask ourselves this question when we read a crime news story about a doctor. The address of his or her practice, or current hospital affiliation, usually is given. But his training — where he went to medical school — usually is not, or if it is, it is buried deep in the story.

This anomaly struck us particularly when we read the book "Doc", author Jack Olsen's true and gripping account of a physician in the small town of Yellowstone Park, Wyoming. This doctor would put a woman on his examining table, place her feet in the stirrups, and then put up a screen so she could not see what he was doing.

Procedure Described

He then slowly introduced a rigid, lubricated "instrument" into the woman's vagina — a procedure that sometimes took 20 minutes or longer. The doc, John H. Story, M.D., assured the women that this internal exam was good for their health.

This practice — unbelievably — continued for 25 years, with women as young as 13 and as old as 75, before townspeople finally caught on to what he was doing. He then was arrested, tried, convicted, and he and his "instrument" were sent away to prison for rape and molestation.

"Doc" is a page-turning account. But we were frustrated because it was not until page 208, in a transcript of the doctor's hearing before a Wyoming medical disciplinary board, that we learned where he got his M.D.: at the University of Nebraska Medical School, in Omaha.

Our thought is that the public might be better informed, and medical schools more cautious about whom they keep and how they train them, if they feared that their institutional toes might be toasted in the media if one of their alums were to get into legal trouble.

Accused-Doctors' Schools Revealed

Here are excerpts from recent news stories about doctors in trouble with the law. PROBE has inserted the source of their medical degrees in italics:

"PROVIDENCE, R.I. (AP) — Already facing two lawsuits as director of the Women & Infants Hospital fertility clinic, Dr. Ray Vernon Haning is also the target of an investigation into child molestation allegations.

“The state Board of Medical Licensure and Discipline has filed a motion in superior court seeking access to records from an investigation by the state child welfare agency into two complaints that Haning, a gynecologist who earned his medical degree at Case Western Reserve University, in Cleveland, molested young girls . . . .” — St. Johnsbury, Vt.

Caledonian Record, Sept. 2

"NASHVILLE, Aug. 28 (AP) — A neurosurgeon was charged today with trying to murder a Vanderbilt University Medical Center doctor with an injection of boric acid for refusing to give him a letter of recommendation.

“Dr. Ray Mettelal, 44, of Harrisonburg, Va., a graduate of the University of Tennessee medical school, in Memphis, was wearing a fake beard, wig and padding, and had a large syringe with the lethal mixture when he was arrested . . . .”

— New York Times, Aug. 29

"A QUEENS [N.Y.] doctor who let a woman bleed to death after a botched abortion got the maximum 25-years-to-life sentence yesterday for what the judge called 'depraved, indifferent murder.'

“Dr. David Benjamin, who received his medical training at the University of Frankfurt, in Germany, according to officials, [is] the first New York State doctor to be convicted of murder in a medical procedure. . . .”

— N.Y. Daily News, Sept. 13

"OLATHE, KANS. (AP) — A doctor who escaped a fire that destroyed her family's $400,000 home a month ago has been arrested and charged with arson and murder in the deaths of . . . ."

continued on following page
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two of her children, who died in the blaze.

"Dr. Debora Green was arrested on Wednesday at a Kansas City, Mo., theater where she had taken her surviving daughter, a 10-year-old ballerina, to practice for her starring role in 'The Nutcracker.'

"Dr. Green, 44, a graduate of the University of Kansas School of Medicine, in Kansas City, was charged with murder and aggravated arson . . . ." — N.Y. Times, Nov. 24

"HOUSTON — A federal grand jury here has returned an indictment of Dr. Stanislaw Burzynski . . . [It] alleges 40 counts of using an unapproved drug in interstate commerce, 34 counts of mail fraud . . . and one count of contempt for violating a Federal court's order against interstate delivery of the drug.

"The indictment says Dr. Burzynski, who earned his M.D. at the Academy of Medicine in Lublin, Poland, has treated more than 2,500 patients with [the drug] antineoplastin for a variety of maladies, including at least eight forms of cancer, AIDS... and baldness." — New York Times, Nov. 26

Risks Are Unpredictable

"Just as the consequences of eugenic beliefs were contingent upon the time and place in which they were put into practice, so we ought not condemn scientific findings because of what we think they might portend politically. There is no way to predict [it]."

— Historian R. Cowan, Ph.D.
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